Analysis of Reaction Times and Aerobic Capacities of Soccer Players According to Their Playing Positions
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Abstract
70 soccer players in Gaziantep amateur league voluntarily participated in this study, (average of their ages 19.17±1.34 years, average of their heights 181.28±5.06 cm, average of their body weights 76.75±4.43 kg and average of their sports experiences 3.78±0.95 years) to analyze visual and auditory reaction times and aerobic capacities of amateur soccer players according to their playing positions. The reaction times against light and sound of right and left hands were measured by ‘Newtest Reaction Timer.’ The aerobic capacity measurements of players in this study were determined by shuttle sprint test. In comparison of left and right hand reaction times, it was statistically found that goalkeepers had the best reaction times and midfielders had better reaction times than forwards and defenders (P<0.05). In comparison of aerobic capacities of their playing positions, it was found that MaxVO₂ levels of goalkeepers were lower than that of midfielders and forwards (P<0.05). In conclusion, it was found that the factors such as MaxVO₂ level and reaction time which affect the performances of players nowadays and differ according to their playing positions. It is considered that these differences result from the different training programs according to the playing positions.
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1. Introduction

Sports, a significant thing in human life, have an important role for the purpose of recreation and introductory stage of a country. Furthermore, countries with their sports events (Olympic Games, World Championships etc.) introduce themselves and make a profit from organization’s finances (Maranci, 1999). Winning a competition in a sports branch is directly related to the number of talented players in the team. For this reason, players with lower skills should do more training to develop their skills. Although talent is a genetic factor, practical and theoretical trainings play an important role to develop skills and techniques. Personal skills and techniques of players used to be significant but today, physical values are more notable. While developing physical quality values, which play an important role in the success, one should not deviate from scientific concepts. Nowadays, soccer has become one of the most important sports activities that countries focus on. As it is mostly watched and attracted all masses, it has become more prominent than other sports branches. Also, durability has a very important role in sports events such as soccer which depends on competition. When oxygen demand increases in the muscles during the sport activities, which has the highest level of physical strength, metabolism should physiologically adapt to this situation. However, the usage of oxygen starts to be limited when the duration and intensity of exercise rise to a certain point and reach the maxVO₂ level (Akgun, 1994; Gunay, 1998). A person’s maxVO₂ level is an important factor among the data that show the levels how good or bad his/her condition is. Obviously, a person’s gender, age, body structure, race and environmental factors are among the factors that affect maxVO₂ level. MaxVO₂ level varies depending on heartbeat volumes, arteria-venous O₂ differences and heart minute frequencies. The excess or shortage of muscle mass, used during the sports activities, is the factor that affects the amount of oxygen consumed per unit of time (Fox and Keteyian, 1988a). The person, who plays soccer that trails the entire world, should be agile, quick and lighter due to the nature of this branch so that s/he can be distinguished from his/her opponents in terms of their performances (Koz and Balci, 2007; Kraemer et al., 2005). Reaction time (Tamer, 2000) described as the time between starting the stimulus and the reaction given to the stimulus,
is a decisive factor to develop performances in soccer today; is also one of the main factors that provides players to distinguish from their opponents by moving suddenly under the pressure of the opponents, intercepting the balls, and making quick decisions against fakes (Koner, 1997). For this reason, visual and auditory reaction times are very significant criteria in all types of sports branches.

The shortness of the reaction time in sports competitions is the effective factor that distinguishes us from our opponents. Reaction times differ from players who are in the same age and do the same branch (Saito, 2006). Challenging less, doing less training, carelessness and over fatigue have negative impacts on our reaction times (Sahin, 1995). The aim of this study is to analyze the comparison of visual and auditory reaction times and MaxVO2 levels of the players according to their playing positions.

2. Materials and Methods

70 soccer players in Gaziantep amateur league voluntarily participated in this study, (average of their ages 19.17±1.34years, average of their heights 181.28±5.06 cm, average of their body weights 76.75±4.43 kg and average of their sports experiences 3.78±0.95 years). Before measurements, the information was given to players about measurement protocols. Body weight of the players with their sport clothes (shorts-t-shirts and no shoes) were measured by an electronic scale (SECA, Germany) with the accuracy of 0.01. Their heights were measured by stadiometre (SECA, Germany) with the accuracy of 0.01 (Gordon and his colleagues, 1988).

2.1 Reaction Test

The reaction time against light and sound of right and left hand were measured by ‘Newtest Reaction Timer.’ The players were asked to concentrate on by saying to press the button with their fingers within 3 seconds after the start command. The first 5 measurements were accepted as a try and excluded from the evaluation. Then, discarding the worst and the best values from the 5 measurements, the average of 3 measurements was recorded as the test value. The measurement was recorded in 1/1000 second accuracy. Millisecond was accepted as the value of reaction times.

2.2 Aerobic Capacity Test

The aerobic capacities of the players were determined with Shuttle Sprint Test. The players started to run in the form of a round trip of 8km.h⁻¹ at a distance of 20 km by increasing the sprint speed 1 km.h⁻¹ and sprint speed was determined by a timer with signals at certain intervals. The players were asked to touch the line at the end of the 20 km. When the signal came, the test was ended for the player who did not touch the line twice that was one meter ahead from it and determined the 20 m. line. The rules of the sprint were told to the players and the aerobic power values were determined in the VO2 prediction table according to the shuttle sprint tests (Tamer, 2000).

2.3 Statistical Analysis

SPSS 20.00 statistical software package was used for the measurement and the evaluation of the data. Firstly, Kolmogorov Smirnov Normality Test was performed to analyze whether the values of the data had normal distribution or not, then it was observed that the data had normal distribution at the end of the test (p>0.05). From this perspective, parametric tests were used in the analysis of the data. One way analysis of variance was used in the comparison of visual and auditory reactions according to the positions. To determine which position can cause the differences, Tukey test, one of the multiple comparison tests, was used. In this study, error level was accepted as 0.05.

3. Findings

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the soccer players in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Goalkeeper (N=10)</th>
<th>Defender (N=30)</th>
<th>Midfielder (N=20)</th>
<th>Forward (N=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>19.60±1.43</td>
<td>19.10±1.37</td>
<td>18.90±1.12</td>
<td>19.10±1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>185.10±4.84</td>
<td>178.73±5.91</td>
<td>175.10±5.13</td>
<td>186.20±4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>81.90±6.20</td>
<td>73.70±4.40</td>
<td>72.00±4.41</td>
<td>79.40±2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports experiences (year)</td>
<td>3.90±1.10</td>
<td>4.03±0.99</td>
<td>3.40±0.68</td>
<td>3.80±1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The comparison of visual and auditory reaction times and aerobic capacities of the players according to their positions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Goalkeeper (N=10)</th>
<th>Defender (N=30)</th>
<th>Midfielder (N=20)</th>
<th>Forward (N=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
<td>Average ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% % ≥ % % ≥ % % ≥ %</td>
<td>208.97±14.70 a,b,c</td>
<td>266.36±27.79 a,c</td>
<td>240.56±34.49 a,d</td>
<td>289.14±50.36 a,d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% % ≥ % ≥ %</td>
<td>216.09±9.31 a</td>
<td>277.31±38.65 a</td>
<td>255.31±36.50 a</td>
<td>273.48±48.74 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% % ≥ % % ≥ % %</td>
<td>233.39±25.53 a,c</td>
<td>269.36±43.29 a</td>
<td>257.31±30.42 a</td>
<td>278.44±41.41 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% % ≥ % % % %</td>
<td>243.90±16.94 a,b,c</td>
<td>280.43±30.52 a</td>
<td>257.88±33.65 a</td>
<td>290.43±35.30 a,b,c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaxVO2 (ml.kg.min)</td>
<td>30.00±2.79 a,d</td>
<td>37.91±5.86 b</td>
<td>45.02±3.93 a,b,c</td>
<td>39.60±5.44 a,c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a, b, c, d: the differences between the averages are significant at the level of O.05 (P<0.05).
The comparison of right hand visual reaction times of players according to their positions in table 2, it was found that right hand visual reaction times of goalkeepers are significantly lower than that of defenders and forwards (P<0.05). In addition to that, it was found that right hand visual reaction times of defenders are significantly higher than that of midfielders and right hand visual reaction times of forwards are significantly higher than that of goalkeepers and midfielders (P<0.05). Besides, in the comparison of left hand visual reaction times according to playing positions, it was found that left hand visual reaction times of defenders are significantly higher than that of goalkeepers, midfielders and forwards, left hand visual reaction times of forwards are significantly higher than that of goalkeepers and midfielders, and left hand visual reaction times of midfielders are higher than that of goalkeepers (P<0,05).

In the comparison of right hand auditory reaction times according to positions, it was found that right hand auditory reaction times of forwards are significantly higher than that of goalkeepers (P<0,05). In the comparison of left hand auditory reaction times according to playing positions, it was found that left hand auditory reaction times of goalkeepers are significantly lower than that of defenders and forwards (P<0,05). Also, it was found that left hand auditory reaction times of goalkeepers are significantly lower than that of forwards (P<0,05).

In the comparison of aerobic capacities according to playing positions, it was found that aerobic capacities of goalkeepers are significantly lower than that of defenders, midfielders and forwards (P<0,05). Also, it was found that aerobic capacities of defenders are significantly lower than that of midfielders (P<0,05). Furthermore, it was found that aerobic capacities of forwards are significantly lower than that of midfielders (P<0,05).

4. Discussion and Conclusion

This study aims to compare the visual and auditory reaction times and Max VO2 levels of soccer players according to their playing positions in soccer branch. In soccer, one of the challenging sports, a given reaction to a stimulus is mostly related to precognition. Because the reaction time is very significant to fake in tackling, intercept the shots, pass instantly and stop the close-range shots in soccer. This one is also characterized as a precognition skill (Maranci, 1999). The comparison of left hand visual reaction times and right hand visual reaction times according to the playing positions in our study, it was statistically determined that goalkeepers had the best reaction times and the visual and auditory reaction times of midfielders are better than forwards and defenders (P<0,05).

In his study about the reaction times of goalkeepers of National Handball Team, Asci (2002) determined that the reaction times of goalkeepers are lower than the players of other positions but these values statistically are not significant (p<0,05). In auditory reaction time measurements, he observed and statistically determined that midfielders are better than goalkeepers (p<0,01).

In his study about amateur soccer players to show the reaction time values of goalkeepers, defenders, midfielders and forwards, Maranci (1999) determined that the visual and auditory reaction times of goalkeepers are better than the players of other positions. Speed, the important thing for players, requires accelerating after instant stops and changing directions in addition to agility in soccer. Also, intercepting the ball before the opponent in the play indicates that reaction time is an important criterion in soccer. The reaction time, a determinant factor in most sports, can be developed with trainings (Saccuzzo and Michael, 1984). The comparison results according to the playing positions in our study have parallelism with the results of his study. The lower reaction times of goalkeepers than the players of other positions resulted from the importance of precognition for their position and different training programs to develop their agility (Maranci, 1999).

Maximum oxygen consumption (MaxVO2) is the most reliable test to determine maximal aerobic capacity. The high aerobic capacity of the player depends on the oxygen that he/she used per unit of time. The high performance of the player in endurance sports are related to his/her MaxVO2 values (Akgun, 1994). The comparison of the aerobic capacity according to playing positions in our study, it was determined that MaxVO2 levels of goalkeepers are significantly lower than defender, midfielders and forwards (P<0,05). In a study about male players, MaxVO2 values were found to be 60.9 ml/kg/sec. for midfielders and 50.1 ml/kg/sec. for defenders (Fox and Keteyian, 1988b).

Astrand and Rodahl (1987) determined that MaxVO2 level differs according to sex, body and genetic type, weight and condition of the player. In our study, the reason of the higher MaxVO2 levels of midfielders than that of goalkeepers and the players of other positions is that the players of this position equally contribute both the offence and the defense. Midfielders organize passes by creating free space constantly in a team that plays set offence with short pass and direction in addition to agility in soccer. Also, intercepting the ball before the opponent in the play indicates that reaction time is an important criterion in soccer. The reaction time, a determinant factor in most sports, can be developed with trainings (Saccuzzo and Michael, 1984). The comparison results according to the playing positions in our study have parallelism with the results of his study. The lower reaction times of goalkeepers than the players of other positions resulted from the importance of precognition for their position and different training programs to develop their agility (Maranci, 1999).

In their study of Galatasaray Youth Team, MaxVO2 levels were found to be 55.53 ml/kg/sec. (Bozkurt and Hazar, 2004). In the study of soccer players in second league, MaxVO2 levels were found to be 45.83 ml/kg/sec. (Kayatekin ve ark., 1993). The MaxVO2 levels of Kahramanmaraspor soccer players were found to be 53.12 ml/kg/sec. (Gencay, 1995). In
the study of soccer players in third league, MaxVO2 levels were found to be 52.4 ml/kg/sec. (Kaplan et al., 1996).

Analyzing the studies in literature, we consider that higher MaxVO2 levels than the MaxVO2 levels in our study resulted from the players who play in different leagues and the training programs practiced by them. In conclusion, we think that planning different training programs for the physical capacities of the players are significant in terms of reaching the suitable performances for the need of their positions.
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