

# Bridging the Digital Divide: The Role of Online Education in Promoting Equity

Denise E Greaves

Correspondence: Denise E Greaves, Herzing University Online, USA.

Received: February 27, 2024 Accepted: April 16, 2024 Online Published: May 9, 2024

doi:10.11114/ijce.v7i2.6768 URL: <https://doi.org/10.11114/ijce.v7i2.6768>

## Abstract

This qualitative case study examines the impact of online education in Smith County (a pseudonym), a rural area in the southwestern United States characterized by economic challenges and a large Hispanic population. This study aimed to identify the barriers to and facilitators of educational equity in digital environments. A purposive sampling was utilized to select participants who could provide rich, in-depth insights into the impact of online education within Smith County. In-depth interviews were conducted and focus groups held with students, teachers, and administrators within Smith County. Data analysis was conducted through an iterative process of coding and thematic analysis. The study was informed by the theoretical framework of digital divide and culturally responsive pedagogy. This study delved into the nuanced challenges faced by this community, including technological access, digital literacy, and socio-economic factors. The analysis also explored the pedagogical approaches and support systems that contribute to effective online learning experiences within this rural area. The findings revealed a complex interplay of factors affecting online education engagement and success, highlighting the critical role of tailored support services, culturally responsive teaching, and community partnerships to enhance educational outcomes. The study underscores the importance of understanding local contexts and learner needs in designing and implementing online education programs that specifically address equity. Major conclusions point toward the need for comprehensive strategies that go beyond providing internet access, emphasizing the creation of inclusive, supportive online learning communities. This research contributes to the discourse on educational equity in the digital age, offering insights for educators, policymakers, and online education providers seeking to improve access and outcomes for all learners.

**Keywords:** online education, educational equity, underserved communities, access barriers, learning outcomes, mobile learning, personalized learning, digital divide

## 1. Introduction

With the advent of online education, we have celebrated a pivotal development in the quest to democratize access to learning, heralded for its potential to transcend geographical, socio-economic, and cultural barriers that have traditionally impeded equitable educational opportunities (Iivari, Sharma, & Ventä-Olkkonen, 2020; Jafar, Ananthpur, & Venkatachalam, 2023). Despite its transformative promise, the deployment of online education across various landscapes has illuminated stark disparities, highlighting a complex web of challenges that hinder its effectiveness in fostering educational equity (Bhattacharya, 2020). This study delves into the experiences of Smith County, a microcosm representing the broader dichotomies inherent in rural, economically disadvantaged, and culturally diverse communities within the digital learning sphere.

Smith County is nestled in the southwestern United States and emerges as a poignant case study with its distinct agricultural economy and a notable Hispanic population comprising nearly half of its residents. This community's narrative is emblematic of the intricate interplay between technological accessibility, educational resources, and socio-economic equity in the era of online education (UNESCO, 2023). The county's struggle with economic hardship is underscored by a median household income significantly lower than the national average, compounded by limited access to critical services such as high-speed internet, which is pivotal for online learning (García-Mora, & Mora-Rivera, 2023; National Center for Education Statistics, 2023).

The exacerbation of these challenges by the global COVID-19 pandemic has cast a spotlight on the digital divide, underscoring the urgent necessity for equitable online education solutions (Yan, Vyas, Wu, & Rawat, 2022). The digital divide, the chasm between those with and without access to modern information and communication technology, is

multifaceted, extending beyond connectivity to encompass linguistic, educational, and cultural resource disparities (García-Mora, & Mora-Rivera, 2023; Yan et al., 2022). For Smith County and similar communities, overcoming these barriers requires a holistic approach, sensitive to the socio-economic and cultural nuances that define these regions.

The concept of educational equity in the context of online learning is complex, entailing not just access to technology but also considerations of content relevance, pedagogical effectiveness, and support systems tailored to diverse learner needs (Kumi-Yeboah, Dogbey, Yuan, & Smith, 2020). The global shift towards digital education platforms presents both an opportunity and a challenge: the potential to reach marginalized populations is vast, yet realizing this potential demands an in-depth understanding of the barriers these populations face and the strategies that can successfully mitigate them.

This qualitative study aims to explore the lived experiences of students, educators, and administrators in Smith County, shedding light on the barriers to and facilitators of effective online learning in underserved communities. Through this lens, the research seeks to identify actionable strategies that can promote educational equity by addressing the specific needs and challenges of rural, economically disadvantaged, and culturally diverse populations. By situating the narrative of Smith County within the broader discourse on digital learning and equity, this study underscores the critical need for research that navigates the intersection of technology, socio-economic status, and education. The insights garnered from this study are poised to inform policy, pedagogy, and further scholarly inquiry, offering a critical lens through which to view the challenges and opportunities of online education in similar contexts (Tate & Warschauer, 2022).

## 2. Methods

The qualitative research approach was employed to investigate the impact of online education on equity within Smith County. The focus of the study was on capturing the nuanced perspectives of various stakeholders. Specifically, the study describes the utilization of semi-structured interviews and focus groups as primary methods for gathering in-depth insights from students, educators, and administrators. These methods were chosen because of their ability to facilitate rich, detailed narratives that illuminate the complex dynamics of online learning environments and their implications for educational equity (Busetto, Wick & Gumbinger, 2020). A purposive sampling strategy was utilized to ensure a diverse representation of voices and experiences within the study (Andrade, 2021). The analysis process was grounded in thematic analysis, to demonstrate how data were systematically coded and themes were identified to capture the essence of participants' experiences (Naeem, Ozuem, Howell & Ranfagni, 2023). Through a detailed exposition of these methods, this section provides a comprehensive overview of the research design, offering a clear roadmap of the study's methodological foundation.

### 2.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design, utilizing interviews and focus groups as primary data collection methods to explore the impact of online education on equity within Smith County. This approach is guided by the interpretivist paradigm, which posits that reality is constructed through human experiences and social interactions, making it well-suited for examining complex, context-specific phenomena such as educational equity (Creswell & Poth, 2017). The emphasis on qualitative methods allows for a deep exploration of participants' perceptions, experiences, and the meanings they attribute to them, providing rich insights into the nuanced ways in which online education influences equity among different demographic groups within the community (Ugwu & Eze, 2023).

### 2.2 Data Collection and Participants

The data collection phase of this study was meticulously designed to gather in-depth insights into the impact of online education on equity within Smith County, focusing on the experiences of students, educators, and administrators. Employing a qualitative approach, the study utilized semi-structured interviews and focus groups as the primary data collection methods. This dual-method strategy was chosen to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the perceptions, challenges, and opportunities associated with online learning from a diverse range of perspectives (Busetto, Wick, & Gumbinger, 2020). Interviews provided detailed individual narratives, allowing participants to reflect on their personal experiences with online education. Focus groups, on the other hand, facilitated dynamic discussions, enabling the exploration of collective views and the emergence of shared themes. Participants were selected through purposive sampling to represent a broad spectrum of experiences within the community, ensuring the collection of rich, varied data pertinent to understanding the nuances of educational equity in an online context. Using these two methods of collecting data allows for a detailed exploration of the data collection process, highlighting its significance in capturing the complex realities of online education in Smith County.

The selection process prioritized individuals from varying socioeconomic backgrounds and levels of technological access, recognizing that these factors critically influence experiences with and outcomes from online learning. Efforts were made to ensure the participant group reflected the demographic and economic diversity of Smith County, thereby capturing a wide range of experiences and challenges associated with online education. The recruitment of participants involved targeted outreach to local educational institutions about the study and invite participation. Additionally, the study

employed snowball sampling techniques, where initial participants recommended potential candidates who could contribute valuable insights, further enriching the diversity of the sample. A preliminary screening process was conducted to assess each potential participant's fit based on the study's criteria, focusing on their role in the education system, socioeconomic status, and access to technology.

### 2.2.1 Interviews

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with a diverse group of stakeholders, including students, educators, and administrators from Smith County. The interviews varied in duration, lasting between 45 to 60 minutes each. This timeframe was determined to be sufficient to explore the subjects in depth while maintaining the focus and engagement of the participants. Interviews were carried out via video conferencing platforms. This method was chosen for its flexibility, allowing for the exploration of topics in depth while providing the structure necessary to address specific research questions (Brinkmann, 2014).

The interview process was carefully planned to create an environment conducive to open and honest communication. Prior to each interview, participants were provided with an overview of the study's aims and reassured about the confidentiality of their responses. This preparatory step helped establish trust and rapport, which are critical for eliciting candid and detailed accounts of personal experiences. During the interviews, the researcher employed active listening and follow-up questions to delve deeper into participants' responses, thereby enriching the data collected.

The participants were asked a series of pre-set open-ended questions, utilizing some core questions and associated questions related to the central theme of educational equity in the online environment (Creswell, 2018). The participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure a broad representation of experiences and perspectives on online education and its equity implications. Questions were designed to elicit detailed responses about individual experiences with online education, perceived barriers to access and participation, and suggestions for enhancing educational equity.

The interviews were recorded with the consent of the participants, allowing for accurate transcription and ensuring that the analysis was grounded in the participants' own words. This methodological approach, underpinned by a commitment to ethical and participant-centered practices, provided a rich and nuanced dataset from which to explore the barriers and facilitators of educational equity in Smith County's online education context.

### 2.2.2 Focus Groups

Focus group discussions were organized with both educators and students to foster a collaborative dialogue about the collective experiences with online education in Smith County. This method was selected to leverage the group dynamics in generating a broader range of insights and to facilitate the emergence of consensus or divergence on key issues (Morgan, 1997; Richard, et al., 2021). The focus group discussions were carefully planned and executed, each lasting approximately 90 to 120 minutes. This duration was chosen to allow sufficient time for an in-depth exploration of the topics while maintaining the engagement of participants throughout the discussion. The longer duration compared to individual interviews acknowledged the complexities of group dynamics, providing ample opportunity for each participant to contribute their perspectives and for the group to explore areas of both consensus and disagreement in detail.

Focus group discussions were conducted in an online setting using the Zoom platform. This decision was made to accommodate the logistical and health concerns associated with in-person gatherings, ensuring the safety and convenience of all participants. The transition to a virtual format allowed for broader participation across the diverse community of Smith County, enhancing the representativeness and richness of the data collected. Each focus group consisted of 6-8 participants, a size considered optimal for encouraging active participation and detailed discussion in an online environment (Krueger & Casey, 2015; Richard, et al., 2021).

The researcher created an environment that encouraged the participants to share their perceptions and points of view (Manzano, 2022). The online discussions were structured around a series of open-ended questions designed to prompt reflection and debate on the effectiveness of online education strategies in promoting equity. The researcher guided the participants through these topics, creating an environment conducive to open sharing and collaborative dialogue. The researcher employed strategies to ensure active and equitable participation, such as calling on quieter participants and moderating the pace of the discussion.

To facilitate a smooth and engaging online discussion experience, participants were provided with guidelines on virtual engagement and technical instructions prior to the sessions. These preparations helped minimize technical disruptions and fostered a focused and productive discussion environment.

All virtual focus group sessions were recorded with the consent of the participants, subject to strict confidentiality protocols. These recordings were transcribed verbatim, ensuring that the qualitative analysis was grounded in the participants' own words and interactions. The use of video conferencing technology not only captured verbal responses but also allowed the researcher to observe non-verbal cues and dynamics, albeit to a lesser extent than in-person settings.

### 2.3 Analysis

The qualitative data obtained from the interviews and focus groups were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using thematic analysis, a method for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns within data (Naeem, et al., 2023). The analysis process followed six phases: familiarization with the data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the report (Braun & Clarke, 2006). NVivo software was employed to facilitate data management and the coding process, supporting the organization of data into meaningful categories.

All audio recordings from interviews and focus groups were transcribed verbatim. Transcriptions were then read in conjunction with listening to the recordings to correct any inaccuracies and to familiarize the researcher with the depth and nuances of the data. This step was crucial for ensuring that subsequent analyses were grounded in participants' exact words and experiences.

Initial codes were generated inductively, directly from the data, rather than being predefined, allowing for the emergence of unexpected insights and themes. Using NVivo software, the transcribed data were systematically coded. This process involved assigning short labels to segments of text that captured the essence of participants' responses related to online education and equity. Coding was performed inductively, meaning that codes were developed directly from the data without any preconceived categories or frameworks. This approach allowed for the emergence of unexpected themes and insights.

After initial coding, codes were reviewed and grouped based on their relationships and similarities. Through this iterative process, broader themes began to emerge, representing significant patterns across the dataset that spoke to the core experiences and perspectives of participants. Each emergent theme was then rigorously reviewed to ensure it formed a coherent pattern and was supported by data from the transcriptions. This phase sometimes involved collapsing similar themes, further subdividing themes, or redefining the scope of themes to more accurately reflect the data. This step was critical for ensuring that the themes authentically represented the participants' experiences and insights regarding educational equity in online learning environments.

Once the themes were established, they were clearly defined and named. The final phase involved weaving together the thematic analysis into a coherent narrative that succinctly presented the findings of the study. This included detailed descriptions of each theme, supported by direct quotes from participants to illustrate the themes. Table 1 highlights the process from data collection to thematic development, capturing the essence of participants' experiences and perspectives on educational equity in online learning environments.

Table 1. Emerging themes on educational equity in online learning environments

Initial codes	Broader themes
Access to technology	Technological Accessibility
Internet reliability	
Use of digital tools	Digital literacy
Language barriers	Cultural relevance and linguistic accessibility
Cultural disconnect	
Online pedagogy	Pedagogical adaptations for online learning
Educator readiness	
Community support	Community and school support systems
School resources	
Student engagement	Student engagement and motivation
Motivation challenges	
Isolation feelings	

Table 1 succinctly illustrates how the inductive coding process led to the identification of five broad themes that encapsulate the study's findings on educational equity in online learning environments. Each theme represents a consolidation of related codes, reflecting the nuanced and interconnected experiences of participants in Smith County's online education context.

Ethical considerations were also integral to the research process. Participants were provided with the information about the research which enabled them to voluntarily decide whether or not they were going to participate in the interview and focus

group sessions. The researcher provided participants with information about the research, including but was not limited to details of the nature and purpose of the research, the expected duration of their participation, probable risks and benefits associated with participation in the study (Manti, & Licari, 2018; World Health Organization, 2023). All participants provided informed consent, were assured of their anonymity, and were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time.

### 3. Results

The thematic analysis of the qualitative data gathered from semi-structured interviews and focus groups with students, educators, and administrators in Smith County yielded several key themes. These themes illuminate the multifaceted impact of online education on equity, revealing both barriers and facilitators that influence educational outcomes for underserved communities.

#### 3.1 Theme 1: Technological Accessibility and Digital Literacy

A primary theme that emerged from the data was the challenge of technological accessibility and digital literacy. Many participants highlighted the lack of reliable internet access and the scarcity of personal computing devices as significant barriers to engaging with online education. One educator noted, "Students often rely on shared family devices or have to complete assignments on their phones, which isn't ideal for learning." Furthermore, digital literacy emerged as a critical concern, with both students and educators indicating that insufficient digital skills hindered effective participation in online learning environments.

#### 3.2 Theme 2: Cultural Relevance and Linguistic Barriers

The importance of cultural relevance and linguistic barriers was another significant theme. Participants expressed a need for online educational content that reflects the cultural backgrounds and languages of Smith County's diverse population. One student remarked, "It's hard to stay engaged when the material doesn't reflect your experiences or is not in your primary language." Educators echoed this sentiment, emphasizing the challenge of finding resources that are both culturally responsive and accessible to non-English speakers.

#### 3.3 Theme 3: Pedagogical Adaptations for Online Learning

The transition to online learning has necessitated pedagogical adaptations, with mixed results. While some educators reported success in implementing interactive and collaborative online learning strategies, others felt unprepared for the transition. "Adapting my teaching methods for an online format was challenging, and I'm not sure all students benefited equally," shared one teacher. This theme underscores the need for professional development and support for educators to effectively engage students in online learning environments.

#### 3.4 Theme 4: Community and School Support Systems

The role of community and school support systems in facilitating equitable online education emerged as a vital theme. Participants highlighted the importance of school-based initiatives, such as device loan programs and internet access points, in mitigating some accessibility issues. Community organizations also played a crucial role in providing supplementary resources and support. However, gaps in support remain, with one administrator noting, "We've made progress, but there's still a long way to go in ensuring all students have the support they need to succeed online."

#### 3.5 Theme 5: Student Engagement and Motivation

Student engagement and motivation in online learning contexts were identified as areas of concern. Many students reported difficulties staying motivated without the structure and social interaction of traditional classroom settings. "It's easy to feel isolated and disengaged when you're just looking at a screen all day," one student explained. This theme highlights the psychological and emotional challenges associated with online learning and the need for strategies to foster a sense of community and engagement.

### 4. Discussion

The thematic analysis of qualitative data from Smith County reveals significant insights into the challenges and opportunities presented by online education in promoting educational equity. This discussion contextualizes these findings within the broader scholarly discourse, underscoring their implications and suggesting avenues for future research.

The highlighted barriers of technological accessibility and digital literacy resonate with the digital divide discourse, emphasizing the gap not only in physical access to technology but also in the skills required to use it effectively (van Dijk, 2020). This study's findings echo the concerns raised by Selwyn (2016) and reinforced by Midun, Bule and Nardi (2024), in their argument that overcoming the digital divide requires more than just hardware solutions; it necessitates comprehensive digital literacy programs that are sensitive to the socioeconomic and cultural contexts of learners. The case of Smith County underscores the necessity for policy interventions that prioritize both access and empowerment, ensuring that learners are not only equipped with technology but also the skills to navigate digital learning landscapes effectively.

The need for culturally relevant and linguistically accessible content highlighted by participants in Smith County points to a critical aspect of educational equity: representation and inclusivity in curriculum design (Celinska, 2024; Lemmons, 2021). The findings align with Gay (2018) and Celinska and Swazo (2019), who advocate for culturally responsive pedagogy as a framework for enhancing engagement and learning outcomes among diverse student populations. This study underscores the importance of integrating culturally and linguistically diverse materials in online education, suggesting that platforms and educators must be proactive in incorporating resources that reflect the identities and experiences of all learners.

The mixed experiences with pedagogical adaptations for online learning identified in Smith County reflect a broader challenge in education: the translation of effective teaching practices to digital formats (Hodges et al., 2020). This aligns with the findings of Fisher, Frey, & Hattie (2020), who highlight the need for targeted professional development to equip educators with the skills and strategies for online teaching. The study suggests that ongoing support and training for educators are crucial for the successful implementation of online education, emphasizing the role of pedagogical innovation in promoting equity.

The significance of community and school support systems in facilitating access to online education corroborates the findings of Sepanik and Brown (2021) and Domina et al. (2021), who emphasizes the role of social capital in educational success. This study extends the conversation by illustrating how community organizations and schools can collaborate to mitigate barriers to online learning, offering a model for holistic support that addresses both technological and socio-emotional needs (Patana, 2020; Tate & Warschauer, 2022). The insights from Smith County highlight the potential for such partnerships to enhance the resilience and engagement of learners in underserved communities.

The challenges related to student engagement and motivation in online learning environments echo the concerns of Moore (2013) and Xu & Jaggars (2014), who note the importance of interactive and supportive online learning experiences. This study contributes to this discourse by identifying specific factors that impact engagement in Smith County, such as the need for interactive content and opportunities for collaboration. These findings suggest that engagement strategies must be intentionally designed to meet the diverse needs of learners, reinforcing the importance of pedagogical flexibility and innovation in online education.

The findings from Smith County carry significant implications for educational practice and policy. They highlight the need for comprehensive strategies that address not only technological access but also the pedagogical, cultural, and socio-emotional aspects of online learning. Policymakers and educational leaders are called upon to invest in infrastructure, professional development, and curriculum design that prioritize equity, ensuring that online education serves as a lever for educational inclusion rather than a barrier.

Future research should explore longitudinal impacts of interventions aimed at enhancing equity in online education, examining how changes in policy and practice affect learning outcomes over time. Comparative studies across different geographic and socioeconomic contexts could also provide valuable insights into the scalability and adaptability of solutions, offering a broader understanding of how online education can be leveraged to promote educational equity globally.

This expanded discussion situates the study's findings within a broader scholarly context, highlighting their implications for understanding and addressing the challenges of educational equity in online learning environments. By drawing on existing literature and identifying areas for future inquiry, this section underscores the complexity of promoting equity in digital education and the collaborative efforts required to ensure that online learning fulfills its promise as a transformative educational tool.

## 5. Conclusion

This study sought to examine the impact of online education on equity, particularly within the context of Smith County, delving into the nuanced experiences of students, educators, and administrators engaged in digital learning. Through qualitative insights drawn from interviews and focus groups, the study has illuminated key themes that reflect both the potential and the challenges of online education in addressing educational disparities.

The findings underscore the critical importance of technological accessibility and digital literacy, echoing the broader discourse on the digital divide and its implications for equitable education (Selwyn, 2016; van Dijk, 2020). In line with the work of Midun, et al. (2024), this study highlights that access to devices and the internet, coupled with digital literacy skills, forms the foundation upon which equitable online learning must be built.

Moreover, the need for culturally relevant and linguistically accessible content has been identified as paramount, supporting the arguments for culturally responsive pedagogy in digital settings (Celinska and Swazo (2019; Gay, 2018). This study contributes to the conversation by emphasizing the engagement and inclusivity benefits of integrating diverse materials into online education, thereby aligning with Lemmons, (2021) advocacy for education that reflects the identities of all learners.

Pedagogical adaptations for online learning have emerged as a focal point, with the study's insights resonating with the need for educator support and professional development highlighted by Fisher, et al. (2020). The experiences from Smith County reinforce the critical role of effective teaching strategies in fostering equitable online learning environments.

Community and school support systems have been spotlighted as vital for mitigating barriers to online education, aligning with Patana (2020)'s findings on the importance of holistic support networks. This study extends understanding by illustrating practical examples of how these systems can enhance learner resilience and engagement, offering a model for collaboration in underserved communities.

Finally, the study has brought to the fore challenges related to student engagement and motivation in online learning, supporting concerns raised by Moore (2013) and Xu & Jaggars (2014). The findings suggest strategies for maintaining student interest and participation, emphasizing the importance of interactive and supportive learning experiences.

This qualitative case study into the impact of online education on equity within Smith County contributes valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on educational equity in the digital age. It calls for a multi-faceted approach to online education that addresses technological, pedagogical, and socio-emotional barriers while leveraging the strengths of digital learning platforms to enhance educational opportunities for all students.

As online education continues to evolve, it is imperative that stakeholders, educators, policymakers, community leaders, and educational technology developers work collaboratively to ensure that digital learning environments are accessible, engaging, and inclusive. With this focused approach, online education can truly serve as a catalyst for educational equity, embodying the promise of democratizing learning in the 21st century.

#### **Acknowledgments**

Not applicable.

#### **Authors contributions**

Not applicable.

#### **Funding**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### **Informed consent**

Obtained.

#### **Ethics approval**

The Publication Ethics Committee of the Redfame Publishing.

The journal's policies adhere to the Core Practices established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

#### **Provenance and peer review**

Not commissioned; externally double-blind peer reviewed.

#### **Data availability statement**

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

#### **Data sharing statement**

No additional data are available.

#### **Open access**

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

#### **Copyrights**

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

#### **References**

Andrade C. (2021). The inconvenient truth about convenience and purposive samples. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 43(1), 86-88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0253717620977000>

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brinkmann, S. (2014). Unstructured and semi-structured interviewing. In P. Leavy (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Qualitative Research* (pp. 277-299). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199811755.013.030>
- Busetto, L., Wick, W., & Gumbinger, C. (2020). How to use and assess qualitative research methods. *Neurological Research and Practice*, 2(14), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42466-020-00059-z>
- Celinska, D. (2024). Developing intercultural competence of educators: Self-regulated learning perspective. *International Journal of Contemporary Education*, 7(1), 19-25. <https://doi.org/10.11114/ijce.v7i1.6762>
- Celinska, D., & Swazo, R. (2019). Multilingual competence in human services: Implications for intercultural communication training. *International Journal of Management and Applied Science*, 5(2), 21-23. <https://doi.org/10.22237/mijoc/1533600000>
- Creswell, J. W. (2018). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*. Upper Saddle, NJ: Pearson.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2017). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. New York, NY: SAGE.
- Domina, T., Renzulli, L., Murray, B., Garza, A. N., & Perez, L. (2021). Remote or removed: Predicting successful engagement with online learning during COVID-19. *Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World*, 7, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2378023120988200>
- Fisher, D., Frey, N., & Hattie, J. (2020). *The distance learning playbook, grades K-12: Teaching for engagement and impact in any setting*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781071838532>
- García-Mora, F., & Mora-Rivera, J. (2023). Exploring the impacts of Internet access on poverty: A regional analysis of rural Mexico. *New Media & Society*, 25(1), 26-49. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211000650>
- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *EDUCAUSE Review*. Retrieved from <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remote-teaching-and-online-learning>
- Iivari, N., Sharma S., & Ventä-Olkkonen, L. (2020). Digital transformation of everyday life—how COVID-19 pandemic transformed the basic education of the young generation and why information management research should care? *International Journal of Information Management*, 55, 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102183>
- Jafar, K., Ananthpur, K., & Venkatachalam, L. (2023). Digital divide and access to online education: New evidence from Tamil Nadu, India. *Journal of Social and Economic Development*, 25, 313-333. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40847-023-00236-1>
- Krueger, R.A., & Casey, M.A. (2015). *Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research*. SAGE Publications.
- Kumi-Yeboah, A., Dogbey, J., Yuan, G., & Smith, P. (2020). Cultural diversity in online education: An exploration of instructors' perceptions and challenges. *Teachers College Record*, 122(7), 1-46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016146812012200708>
- Lemmons, K. (2021). Increasing diversity, inclusion, and intercultural competence at a historically less diverse regional university. *Academia Letters*, Article 3388. <https://doi.org/10.20935/AL3388>
- Manti, S., & Licari, A. (2018). How to obtain informed consent for research. *Breathe (Sheff)*, 14(2), 145-152. <https://doi.org/10.1183/20734735.001918>
- Manzano, A. (2022). Conducting focus groups in realist evaluation. *Evaluation*, 28(4), 406-425. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13563890221124637>
- Midun, H., Bule, O., & Nardi, M. (2024). Effects of assistance media on active learning and creative thinking in online learning. *International Journal of Contemporary Education*, 7(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.11114/ijce.v7i1.6432>
- Moore, M. G. (2013). *The handbook of distance education* (3rd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203803738>
- Morgan, D. L. (1997). *Focus groups as qualitative* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412984287>
- Naeem, M., Ozuem, W., Howell, K., & Ranfagni, S. (2023). A step-by-step process of thematic analysis to develop a conceptual model in qualitative research. *International Journal of Qualitative*



*Methods*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069231205789>

- National Center for Education Statistics. (2023). Rural students' access to the internet. *The Condition of Education*. Retrieved from <https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator/lfc>
- Patana, P. (2020). Inclusive education and cross-sectoral collaboration between education and other sectors. *UNESCO*. Retrieved from <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373664>
- Richard, B., Sivo, S. A., Orlowski, M., Ford, R. C., Murphy, J., Boote, D. N., & Witta, E. L. (2021). Qualitative research via focus groups: Will going online affect the diversity of your findings? *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 62(1), 32-45. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1938965520967769>
- Selwyn, N. (2016). *Digital Technology and the Contemporary University: Degrees of Digitization*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Sepanik, S., & Brown, K. T. (2021). School-community partnerships. Solutions for educational equity through social and emotional well-being. *ERIC*. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED616007>
- Tate, T., & Warschauer, M. (2022). Equity in online learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 57(3), 192-206. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2022.2062597>
- World Health Organization. (2023). The process of obtaining informed consent. Retrieved from [https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/ethics/process-seeking-if-printing.pdf?sfvrsn=3fac5edb\\_4](https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/ethics/process-seeking-if-printing.pdf?sfvrsn=3fac5edb_4)
- Xu, D. I., & Jaggars, S. (2014). Performance gaps between online and face-to-face courses: Differences across types of students and academic subject areas. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 85(8), 633-659. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jhe.2014.0028>
- Yan, Y., Vyas, L., Wu, A. M., & Rawat, S. (2022). Effective online education under COVID-19: Perspectives from teachers and students. *Journal of Public Affairs Education*, 28(4), 422-439. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15236803.2022.2110749>
- Bhattacharya, S. (2020, June 6). What is so wrong with online teaching. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 55(23), 19-21.
- Ugwu, C., & Eze, V. (2023, January 18). Qualitative research. *Journal of Computer and Applied Sciences*, 8(1), 20-35.
- UNESCO. (2023, April 20). Startling digital divides in distance learning emerge. Retrieved from <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/startling-digital-divides-distance-learning-emerge>
- van Dijk, J. A. G. M. (2020). The digital divide. *Polity*. Retrieved from [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336775102\\_The\\_Digital\\_Divide](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336775102_The_Digital_Divide)